



## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact [support@jstor.org](mailto:support@jstor.org).

## FROM FIELD AND STUDY

**Microscopic Subspecies.**—While collecting along the Kern River, Greenhorn Range, Southern Sierras, about 45 miles from Bakersfield, Kern County, California, I secured several vireos which upon comparison were determined to be *Vireo huttoni*. Upon sending them to Mr. H. C. Oberholser, however, he identifies them as *V. h. oberholseri* Bishop.

In discussing *V. h. oberholseri* in November CONDOR, described by Dr. L. B. Bishop (CONDOR September, 1905, pp. 142-143), Mr. Grinnell states that his series of 47 skins from Los Angeles County (inclusive) to Siskiyou County, are distinctly *V. huttoni*; but the specimen from Escondido, San Diego County, is different, and referable to *V. h. oberholseri*, as described by Dr. Bishop.

Doesn't it seem a bit curious that *Vireo huttoni oberholseri* be found fairly common in February and March in Kern County, with *V. huttoni* on all sides?

Of course, being an amateur in ornithology, I can only open the question and leave it to more advanced ornithologists to elucidate. I wish to add, however, that Mr. Oberholser identified my Santa Cruz Island Vireos (*Vireo mailliardorum* Grinnell) as *V. huttoni*. Mr. Grinnell identified my Kern County specimens as *V. huttoni*! My specimens from Los Angeles County they both identified as *V. huttoni*.

I do not mean this to be discourteous to the gentlemen who are responsible for these subspecies. It is merely an example of existing conditions regarding the microscopic differences upon which many subspecies are based; and to show the position in which young ornithologists are placed thereby. I have a large number of subspecies that have been variously identified by leading ornithologists.—C. B. LINTON, *Long Beach, California*.

**The Virginia Rail (*Rallus virginianus*) Breeding in Mexico.**—While carrying on field investigations for the Biological Survey near Lerma, in the Valley of Toluca, State of Mexico, I obtained a Virginia Rail and three sets of eggs (5, 4 and 6 respectively), July 8-10, 1904. The nests were placed among tules (*Scirpus*) and cat-tail flags, in the large marshes forming the headwaters of the Rio de Lerma, at about 8600 feet altitude.

This is the first record of the nesting of *Rallus virginianus* in Mexico.—E. A. GOLDMAN, *Biological Survey, Washington, D. C.*

**A Correction.**—The "Mexican Black Hawk" recorded by me in the July, 1907, CONDOR, page 110, from San Diego County, California, is now determined to be a typical *Buteo abbreviatus*. This specimen is, I believe, the second record for California. The first was taken by Dr. J. G. Cooper in 1862, also in San Diego County. I secured this specimen within the city limits of National City, San Diego County, California, November 26, 1906.

I may add in self defence, that the identification as *Urubitinga anthracina* was made by several ornithologists. Later Mr. Grinnell pronounced it *Buteo abbreviatus*. I then sent it to the National Museum; it was returned labeled *Buteo borealis calurus*, melanistic phase. Mr. Oberholser now determines it to be *Buteo abbreviatus*, confirming Mr. Grinnell's decision.—C. B. LINTON, *Long Beach, California*.

**The Western Tanager in San Francisco.**—On May 6, 1908, while passing thru Lafayette Square (a park two blocks square in this city), I noticed a pair of unfamiliar birds flying from tree to tree in a eucalyptus hedge. I walked cautiously in their direction and was rewarded by being able to get within ten feet of the male bird, a Western Tanager (*Piranga ludoviciana*); that the other was a female I am not quite so certain. This species was not included in Ray's "Summer Birds of San Francisco County", a paper which appeared in THE CONDOR for March, 1906.—CLARK C. VAN FLEET, *San Francisco, California*.

**Otocoris alpestris insularis on the Mainland Coast.**—On December 4, 1907, I observed a large flock of *O. a. insularis* at Alamitos Bay, Los Angeles County, California, and secured one adult male. I was positive of the identity of the specimen myself, but to be doubly certain I forwarded it to Mr. H. C. Oberholser, who confirms my identification.

There is no doubt, in my mind, that *O. a. insularis* is a regular winter visitant to the mainland coast district of Los Angeles County, at least.—C. B. LINTON, *Long Beach, California*.

**The Southern Limit of the Chestnut-backed Chickadee (*Parus rufescens*) on the California Coast.**—From my knowledge of the character of the humid coast belt of southern Sonoma County, and its similarity to the most southerly recorded habitat of *Parus rufescens*, I have long suspected that this form of chickadee extended much further south than was ordinarily supposed. Yet it was not until last May (1908) that I went into this doubtful region to prove